

Zeros of the order parameter of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconducting film in the presence of uniform current

V.V. Kabanov

Josef Stefan Institute 1001, Ljubljana, Slovenia

We show that additional p -wave component is generated in the pure d -wave superconductor in the presence of the uniform current. When the current flows in the antinodal direction the spectrum has a gap over all Fermi surface. If the current flows in the nodal direction, the gap opens only in the direction parallel to the current. The correction to the current due to the p -wave component of the order parameter is linear in vector potential. We show, that the spin-orbit coupling is responsible for the additional component of the order parameter.

Recently it was proposed that classification of the order parameter in the ferromagnetic superconductor may be performed in accordance with corepresentations of nonunitary magnetic group [1–3]. Similar approach was used in Ref. [4] to consider the effect of broken time-reversal symmetry at T^* on the superconducting state in high- T_c superconductors. Here we would like to extend this type of analysis for the case of a d -wave superconductor with uniform current or/and the external magnetic field.

There is a common belief that the high temperature superconductors have nontrivial order parameter transforming as B_{1g} ($x^2 - y^2$) representation of D_{4h} point group. When external magnetic field is applied the symmetry of the order parameter is reduced [5,6]. As a result, for magnetic field directed along the c -axis the new order parameter has the form: $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$. Furthermore, additional component of the order parameter may be induced by the surface. Since any surface breaks inversion symmetry, additional p wave component is generated near the surface due to the surface induced spin orbit coupling [7]. In the following we show, that a constant superconducting current reduces the symmetry of the order parameter to $d_{x^2-y^2} + ip_x$ when the current is directed along the x -axis. Such form of the order parameter is consistent with the group theory analysis and may be generated by the spin-orbit coupling. We will construct the corresponding invariant terms in the free energy and show how p -wave is generated from the microscopic BCS theory.

Since a constant superfluid current is a real vector that changes sign under time reversal transformation, the total D_{4h} group will be reduced to nonunitary (magnetic) group $D_{2h}(C_{2v})$. We consider for simplicity that superfluid current is directed along the x -axis. Unitary subgroup C_{2v} has four elements $E, C_{2x}, \sigma_h, \sigma_y$ and four representations A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 . In accordance with the general theory of corepresentations [8] all 4 irreducible representations belong to the class 'a'. This means that each irreducible representation generates one nonequivalent corepresentation of the nonunitary group. All corepresentations are one dimensional and are listed in the Table 1 together with the basis functions.

TABLE I. Corepresentations of the nonunitary group, when current flows in the x direction.

	E	C_{2x}	σ_h	σ_y	RI	$R\sigma_x$	RC_{2z}	RC_{2y}	basis functions
A_1	1	1	1	1	ϵ	ϵ	ϵ	ϵ	$k_x^2 - k_y^2 + ia\sigma_3 k_y$
A_2	1	1	-1	-1	ϵ	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	$-\epsilon$	$k_y k_z + ia\sigma_1 k_x + ib\sigma_2 k_y$
B_1	1	-1	1	-1	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	$k_x k_y + ia\sigma_3 k_x$
B_2	1	-1	-1	1	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	$-\epsilon$	ϵ	$k_x k_z + ia\sigma_1 k_y + ib\sigma_2 k_x$

Here a and b are real numbers and $\epsilon = \exp(2i\phi)$ where ϕ is real. Following Ref. [2] we introduced factor 2 in the exponent. To clarify the derivation of basis functions in Table 1 we construct the basis function for the A_1 corepresentation. It is easy to see that $\exp(-i\phi)(k_x^2 - k_y^2)$ transforms as the A_1 representation of the unitary subgroup as well as corresponding corepresentation of the nonunitary group. Since the group in the presence of uniform current does not have the center of inversion, a mixture of singlet and triplet order parameter is possible. The general expression for the triplet part of the order parameter has the following form:

$$\Psi_1 = \hat{x}f_x(k_x, k_y) + \hat{y}f_y(k_x, k_y) + \hat{z}f_z(k_x, k_y) \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$ are spin unit vectors in the $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ axis directions respectively. The functions $f_{x,y,z}(k_x, k_y)$ are odd with respect to the $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}$ transformation. Applying the σ_h operation to $f_{x,y}(k_x, k_y)$ we obtain that $f_{x,y}(k_x, k_y) = -f_{x,y}(k_x, k_y)$ for A_1 and B_1 representations. Therefore $f_{x,y}(k_x, k_y) = 0$ for A_1 and B_1 cases. Applying all operations from Table 1 to the remaining function $f_z(k_x, k_y)$ we obtain the following set of equations:

$$f_z(k_x, -k_y) = -f_z(k_x, k_y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_z(-k_x, -k_y)^* &= \epsilon f_z(k_x, k_y) \\ f_z(-k_x, k_y)^* &= -\epsilon f_z(k_x, k_y) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

It is easy to see that the function

$$\Psi(k_x, k_y) = \exp(-i\phi)(d_{x^2-y^2} + i\eta p_x) \quad (3)$$

where $p_x = \hat{z}k_y$ satisfies all the equations and transforms as the A_1 corepresentation of the nonunitary group $D_{2h}(C_{2v})$. This means that the uniform current in the x direction generates the p_x wave contribution to the gap function. The gap function in that case is defined as $\hat{\Delta}(k_x, k_y) = i(d_{x^2-y^2} + i\eta\sigma_3 k_y)\sigma_2$. Since $\hat{\Delta}\hat{\Delta}^\dagger \propto \hat{\sigma}_0$ the corresponding phase is unitary and the gap in the excitation spectrum is determined by $|d_{x^2-y^2}|^2 + \eta^2 k_y^2$ [9]. As a result, the gap is fully developed over all Fermi surface.

Let us show that the term in the free energy:

$$i\eta'(v_x p_x - v_y p_y)d_{x^2-y^2}^* + c.c., \quad (4)$$

is an invariant of the group D_{4h} . Here η' is a real constant and (v_x, v_y) are components of the superfluid velocity. Indeed, (v_x, v_y) and (p_x, p_y) transform as the E_u representations of the group D_{4h} . Since the direct product $E_u \times E_u = A_{1g} + A_{2g} + B_{1g} + B_{2g}$ contains $B_{1g}(d_{x^2-y^2})$ representation, Eq.(4) represents the true scalar. The presence of i in Eq(4) is important since the superfluid current is antisymmetric with respect to the time reversal symmetry.

The existence of the p component of the order parameter in the presence of the supercurrent may be seen if we write relevant Lifshitz invariant in the free energy [10]:

$$F = F_d + i\eta''(\psi_d^*(D_x p_x - D_y p_y) - \psi_d(D_x^* p_x^* - D_y^* p_y^*)) + \alpha_p(|p_x|^2 + |p_y|^2) \quad (5)$$

where $D_l = -i\nabla_l - 2eA_l$ ($l = x, y, z$), $\mathbf{A}$ is a vector potential, and F_d is the free energy for the d -wave superconductor without current. Assuming that $\psi_d = \psi_{d0} \exp(imvx)$ and $(p_x, p_y) = (p_x, 0) \exp(imvx)$ we obtain a contribution to the free energy, which is similar to Eq.(4). By minimizing Eq.(5) with respect to p_x we obtain the amplitude of the p -component of the order parameter: $p_x = i\eta'' m v \psi_d / \alpha_p$.

When the current is flowing in the nodal direction, $B_{1g}(x^2 - y^2)$ representation of the D_{4h} group is no longer A_1 corepresentation of the reduced nonunitary group. Group theoretical analysis for this case is presented in Table 2. It should be pointed out that this case is similar to the case II considered in the Ref. [4].

TABLE II. Corepresentations of the nonunitary group, when current flows in the nodal direction.

	E	U_{xy}	σ_h	$\sigma_{\bar{xy}}$	RI	$R\sigma_{xy}$	RC_{2z}	$RU_{\bar{xy}}$	basis functions
A_1	1	1	1	1	ϵ	ϵ	ϵ	ϵ	$k_x^2 + k_y^2 + ia\sigma_3(k_x - k_y)$
A_2	1	1	-1	-1	ϵ	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	$-\epsilon$	$(k_x - k_y)k_z + ia(\sigma_1 k_y + \sigma_2 k_x) + ib(\sigma_1 k_x + \sigma_2 k_y) +$
B_1	1	-1	1	-1	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	$k_x^2 - k_y^2 + ia\sigma_3(k_x + k_y)$
B_2	1	-1	-1	1	ϵ	$-\epsilon$	$-\epsilon$	ϵ	$(k_x + k_y)k_z + ia(\sigma_1 k_x - \sigma_1 k_y) + ib(\sigma_1 k_y - \sigma_2 k_x) +$

Similarly to the case when the current flows in the x direction, the corresponding phase is unitary: $\hat{\Delta}\hat{\Delta}^\dagger \propto \hat{\sigma}_0$, and the gap function has the form: $|d_{x^2-y^2}|^2 + a^2(k_x + k_y)^2$. Contrary to the previous case, however, gap opens only in the direction parallel to the current, and the spectrum remains gapless in the direction perpendicular to the current [11].

Let us now discuss the correction to the current when the additional component of the order parameter is generated. It is easy to see from Eq.(5) that the additional contribution to the current is given by:

$$j_x = j_{dx} + 2ie\eta''(\psi_d^* p_x - \psi_d p_x^*) \quad (6)$$

Substituting $p_x = i\eta'' m v \psi_d / \alpha_p$ to the last equation and taking into account that in the quasiclassical limit $mv = -2eA$, correction to the supercurrent has the form:

$$\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_d + 4e^2 \eta''^2 \mathbf{A} |\psi_d|^2 / \alpha_p \quad (7)$$

At this point we should point out that usually the nonlinear London equation is discussed in the case of the d -wave superconductors with the nodes in the spectrum [11]. Nonlinear corrections to the London equation appear due to the

Doppler shift of the spectrum in the presence of the superflow. As a result, in some regions of the Fermi surface the excitation energy becomes negative leading to the finite quasiparticle current. However, as we have shown here, the generation of the p -wave component of the order parameter in the presence of the superflow leads to the correction to the supercurrent which is *linear* in the vector potential. Opening of the p wave component of the order parameter leads to the linear in current correction to the penetration depth and could be detected experimentally.

At the end we suggest one possible microscopic mechanism, which causes the appearance of the p -component of the order parameter in the presence of uniform current. We assume that current flows along the x axis. Spin-orbit coupling in that case could be written as

$$H_{so} = i\gamma \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger [\mathbf{v} \times \partial_{\mathbf{k}}]_z \sigma_3 \psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$

where v is the superfluid velocity and γ is the spin-orbit coupling constant. Following Balatsky [5] we can calculate the correction to the anomalous Green function $\hat{F}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \hat{\Delta}_0(\mathbf{k})/D(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, where $D(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \omega^2 + \xi(\mathbf{k})^2 + |\Delta_0(\mathbf{k})|^2$, using perturbation theory due to the interaction H_{so} . The first correction to $\hat{F}^0(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \hat{F}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) &= i\gamma v \hat{G}^0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \partial_{k_y} \sigma_3 \hat{F}^0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \\ &= i\gamma v \frac{(i\omega - \xi(\mathbf{k}))\sigma_3}{D(\mathbf{k}, \omega)^2} \sin(k_y) i\sigma_2 \\ &= -i\gamma v \frac{(-i\omega + \xi(\mathbf{k}))\sigma_1}{D(\mathbf{k}, \omega)^2} \sin(k_y) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Here we take into account that $\hat{\Delta}_0(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta_0(\cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y))i\sigma_2$, and $\hat{G}^0(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = (i\omega - \xi(\mathbf{k}))\sigma_0/D(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$. To estimate the p -wave correction to the order parameter we assume a repulsive separable interaction in the following form: $V_y(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = V_y \sin(k_y) \sin(k'_y)$. As a result, the correction to $\Delta_0(\mathbf{k})$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(\mathbf{k}) &= T \sum_{\omega, \mathbf{k}'} V_y \sin(k_y) \sin(k'_y) \delta \hat{F}(\mathbf{k}', \omega) \\ &= -iC\gamma v \frac{\Delta_0}{E_F} N_0 V_y \sin(k_y) \sigma_1 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Here C is a real constant of the order of 1, and N_0 is the density of states at the Fermi surface.

In conclusion, we have shown, that additional p wave component appears in the case of d -wave superconductor in the presence of uniform supercurrent. This effect leads to the additional contribution to the current linear in the vector potential. It is shown that spin orbit coupling is responsible for this effect.

I am grateful to A.S. Alexandrov, A. Balatsky, D.F. Agterberg, D. Mihailovic, T. Mertelj, and J. Demsar for useful discussions.

-
- [1] I.A. Fomin, Pis'ma ZhETF, **74**, 116 (2001).
 - [2] I.A. Fomin, ZhETF, **122**, 1089 (2002).
 - [3] V.P. Mineev, cond-mat/0204263.
 - [4] R.P. Kaur and D.F. Agterberg, cond-mat/0306677.
 - [5] A.V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. B, **61**, 6940 (2000).
 - [6] T. Koyama and M. Tachiki, Phys. Rev. B, **53**, 2662 (1996).
 - [7] V.M. Edelstein, Phys. Rev. Lett., **75**, 2004 (1975); L.P. Gorkov, E.I. Rashba, Phys. Rev. Lett., **87**, 037004 (2001).
 - [8] V.V. Eremenko, 'Vvedenie v opticheskuiu spektroskopiu magnetikov, Naukova dumka, Kiev, (1975).
 - [9] M. Sigrist and K. Ueda, Rev. Mod. Phys., **63**, 239 (1991).
 - [10] V.P. Mineev and K.V. Samokhin, JETP, **78**, 401, (1994).
 - [11] We have to point out that the quasiparticle spectrum has additional term due to the Doppler shift. As a result spectrum could be negative in some regions in the Fermi surface. V.P. Mineev, K.V. Samokhin, Introduction to unconventional superconductivity.; Gordon and Beach publishers, 1999.